From:
To: East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two

Subject: DEADLINE 4 Submission **Date:** 12 January 2021 22:29:40

20023274 20023276

Dear Examining Authority

PINS Ref: EA1N- EN010077 and EA2 - EN010078

I would like to respond and take issue with ScottishPower Renewables <u>Submission of Oral Case Issue Specific Hearing 2 on 2nd and 3rd of December 2020: Onshore Siting, Design and Construction</u> in which they have argued that additional projects, widely perceived to be connecting to the Grid at Friston if this current Application is consented, should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment.

I am calling on the Examining Authorities to uphold their pledge to take all additional projects into account by:

- 1. Asking ScottishPower Renewables to undertake a full Cumulative Impact Assessment of all known projects; and
- 2. Undertaking a rigorous examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment

This should include not just the site of the additional substations but the landfall site and most importantly, the cable corridor. How many times will a 9 km cable corridor 60m+ wide be redug? Appendix One of SASES Response to ISH2 Action Points which looks at projects with actual or potential Grid Connections at Friston shows the additional 8 Cable trenches to be dug.

This has been ignored by the applicant despite it having pointed out on numerous occasions during the public consultation process.

In my view this represents a failure of the DCO process where an application is accepted as valid whilst other emerging projects which will have an even greater impact on the locality are ignored.

It appears that this has been left to be pointed out at the hearings by stakeholders and members of the public . In what other normal planning procedure would this methodology be seen as acceptable?

As the Inspectorate was aware of some of the other emerging projects impacting on the locality prior to the application being lodged should it not have required for an integrated masterplan to be prepared showing all of the proposed developments prior to accepting the application as valid?

It must be emphasised that all the primary stakeholders in this

Examination including The Rt Hon Thérèse Coffey MP, East Suffolk Council, Suffolk County Council, Aldeburgh Town Council, Natural England, SASES, SOS and SEAS believe that the effects of these projects and associated impacts should be fully considered within this Examination.

Confidence will be lost in the Examination should the Planning Inspectorate not bring SPR to account on this issue.

Kind regards

Luigi Beltrandi